



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,117	10/04/2004	Toru Miyano	MTS-3528US	4805
23122 7	590 11/22/2006		EXAM	INER
RATNERPRESTIA		WONG, KIN C		
P O BOX 980			ART UNIT	
VALLEY FOR	VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			PAPER NUMBER
	·		2627	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/510,117	MIYANO, TORU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	K. Wong	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply		140.171.4(0), OD 71.1177.4(00), D.A.4(0				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	October 2004.					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	x:				
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		· · ·				
application from the International Burea	•	mreceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ot received.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/04.	6) Other: _	•				

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility.

A program could not be executed without previously stored in the system.

Claim 14 also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claims are generally indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice.

They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with idiomatic errors.

Claims (1 and 12) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "a predetermined recording medium,"

Art Unit: 2627

and/or the predetermined recording medium" is not clear. Examiner has interpreted as typo for this action.

Claims (14 and 15) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims (14 and 15) are not clear on the claim's independency or dependency.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims (1-15) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chainer et al (6453266).

Regarding claim 13: Chainer et al discloses a procedure for recording a shock history data creating procedure including:

a shock detecting step of detecting a shock applied to data reading and writing means of performing data reading and/or writing on a predetermined recording medium, {and/or the predetermined recording medium} (col. 4, lines 1-22 of Chainer et al); and

a shock history data creating step of creating shock history data concerning the detected shock based on a predetermined shock level criterion relating to a level of the

Art Unit: 2627

shock (col. 3, lines 54-68 of Chainer et al). Chainer et al discloses a shock recording for a data reading and writing apparatus.

Regarding claim 14: Chainer et al depicts in figure 2 that a program of causing a computer to execute the shock history data creating step, of the shock history data creating method according to claim 13, of creating shock history data concerning the detected shock based on a predetermined shock level criterion relating to a level of the shock.

Regarding claim 15: Chainer et al teaches that a computer-processable recording medium including the program according to claim 14 (col. 5, lines 27-37 of Chainer et al).

Regarding claims 1-12: the apparatus claims (1-12) are met when the method claims (13-15) are in use.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shimotono et al (7042663) and Thompson et al (4862394) are cited for shock recording.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7566.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, H. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 2627

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kw

20 Nov 06

K. WONG PRIMARY EXAMINER